wilfully and knowingly did compine, conspire, confederate and the defendants, and others known and unknown, unlawfully, CARLOS LOPEZ, JONA HAN SALAZAR MANUEL GARCIA, a/k/a "Manolo," a/k/a "Gina," ARTUHO ROJAS-JAIHE, DAVID DONADO, DENISE DONADO, PLVARO ARDILA-ROJAΜ, α/K/a "Mi#key," NELLIE AGUDELO-PIEDRAHITA, York and elsewhere, JORGE ARIE DIAZ-RAMIREZ, a/k/a "El Medico," including in or abaut July 2001, in the Southern District of New From in or about November 2004, up to and The Grant Jury charges:

## Money Laundering Conspiracy) COLUL ONE

Defendants.

a/k/a "Maholo,"

MANUEL GARCIA, **AAZAJA** MAHTANOU

CARLOS LOPEZ, DENIZE DONADO,

OGANOG GIVAG

ARTURO ROLAS-JAIME

a/k/a "Gina,"

MELLIE AGUDELO-PIEPPAHITA,

a/k/a "Millickey,"

ALOR-ALIGNA ORAVLA

a/k/a "E期 Medico,"

JORGE ARIEL DIAZ-RAMIREZ,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

02CKIW \* 408

ELECTRONICALLY FILED

DATE FILED: 1 -0

DOC#:

DOCUMENT

N2DC 2DNK

. ¥D &0

SEALED INDICTMENT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

.abol

violation of Section 1956(a)(1)(B)(i) of Title 18, United States and control of the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, in to conceal and displaise the nature, location, source, ownership knowing that the timensactions were designed in whole or in part specified unlawful pctivity, to wit, narcotics trafficking, financial transactions, which in fact involved the proceeds of and knowingly would and did conduct and attempt to conduct proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, unlawfully, wilfully, and transfer of United States durrency which represented the in certain financial transactions, to wit, the receipt, custody interstate and for type commerce knowing that property involved defendants herein, in an offense involving and affecting a/k/a "Manolo," the defendants, and co-conspirators not named as DENISE DONADO, CARLDS LOPEZ, JONATHAN SALAZAR, MANUEL GARCIA, PIEDRAHITA, a/k/a fina," ARTURO ROJAS-JAIME, DAVID DONADO, Medico," ALVARO ARITLA-ROJAS, A/k/a "Mickey," NELLIE AGUDELOlaundering conspiraty that JORGE ARIEL DIAZ-RAMIREZ, a/k/a "El

2. It was a part and an object of the money

agree together and with each ouner to violate Section 1956

(a)(1)(B) of Title 18, United States Code.

d. On or about February 25, 2005, ALVARO ARDILA-ROJAS, a/k/a "Mickey," the defendant, agreed to assist in the transportation of narcotics proceeds from New York to Mexico and

c. On or about January 21, 2005, JORGE ARIEL DIAZ-RAMIREZ, a/k/a "El Medico," the defendant, discussed the transportation of approximately \$35,000,000 in drug proceeds from New York to Mexico and further discussed sending NELLIE AGUDELO-PIEDRAHITA, a/k/a "sina," the defendant, to New York to oversee prepared in the transportation of these narcotics proceeds.

b. On or about December 7, 2004, JORGE ARIEL DIAZ-RAMIREZ, a/k/a "El Medico," and NELLIE AGUDELO-PIEDRAHITA, regarding, among orner things, the transportation of approximately \$10,00,000 in drug proceeds from New York to Mexico and Colombia.

a. In or about November 2004, JORGE ARIEL DIAZ-RAMIREZ, a/k/a "El Medico," the defendant, participated in a meeting regarding ine transportation of narcotics proceeds from New York to Mexico

3. In furtherance of said conspiracy and to effect the illegal object thereof, the following overt acts, among others, were committed:

Overt Acts

to conceal and transport these narcotics proceeds.

further agreed to pend DAVID DONADO, the defendant, to New York

e. On or about March 20, 2005, DAVID DONADO, and

DENISE DONADO, the defendants, discussed the concealment and transportation of million dollar quantities of drug proceeds from New York to Mexico and supplied materials and devices that would be used to package and conceal the narcotics proceeds.

f. On or about April 9, 2005, CARLOS LOPEZ, the defendant, met with co-conspirators not named as defendants herein and received from them a bag containing approximately

\$50,000 in narcotids proceeds.

g. In or about June 2, 2005, NELLIE AGUDELO-PIEDRAHITA, a/k/a 'sina," and JONATHAN SALAZAR, the defendants, traveled to a meeting with MANUEL GARCIA, a/k/a "Manolo," and ARTURO ROJAS-JAIME, the defendants, in the Bronx, New York. During this meeting GARCIA and ROJAS-JAIME agreed to deliver narcotics proceeds to AGUDELO-PIEDRAHITA and SALAZAR.

h. Dn or about June 2, 2005, MANUEL GARCIA, a/k/a "Manolo," and ARTURD ROJAS-JAINE, the defendants, in the Bronx, New York, transferred a pag containing \$1,000,000 in narcotics proceeds to JONATHAN SALAZAR, the defendant.

(Title 18, United States Tode, Section 1956(h) and 2.)

9

diligence;

cannot be pocated upon the exercise of due

a. A sum of maney no less than \$35,000,000 in

:stnabnalab

subject to forfeitime, as a result of any act or omission of the

If any of the property described above as being

Substitute Lasets Provision defendants are joittly and severally liable.

property which was involved in the money laundering conspiracy

United States currancy, in that such sum in the aggregate is

money laundering offense and all property traceable to such

Section 982, all paperty, read and personal, involved in the

MANUEL GARCIA, a/k/a "Manolo," the defendants, shall forfeit to

KAMIREZ, a/k/a "El Medico," AL MARO ARDILA-ROJAS, a/k/a "Mickey,"

alleged in Count Ofte of this Indictment, in violation of Title

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

4. As a result of committing the foregoing offense

the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code,

DAVID DONADO, DENIME DONADO, CARLOS LOPEZ, JONATHAN SALAZAR,

NELLIE AGUDELO-PIERRAHITA, a/k/a "Gina," ARTURO ROJAS-JAIME,

18, United States (pde, Section 1956(h), JORGE ARIEL DIAZ-

offense, or is traceable to such property for which the

property, including but not limited to the following:

9

United States Attorney DAVID N. KELLEY

Section 1956).

eboO setat2 betinU ,81 eltiT) eboO setat2 Section 982 and Title 18, United

forfeitable proper.

ofher property of the defendant up to the value of the United States Code Section 85 (p), to seek forfeiture of any

it is the intention of the United States, pursuant to Title 21,

JO

yss peen commingled with other property which

cannot be divided without difficulty;

has been substantially diminished in value;

the court;

has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of

with, a third party;

has been thansferred or sold to, or deposited · q